

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,986	02/02/2001	Arthur Blank	Blank et alPA-1	7259
7590 02/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Royal W. Craig			CHEN, CHONGSHAN	
Law Offices of	Royal W. Craig			
10 North Celvert Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 153			2172	
Baltimore, MD 21202			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

7

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/775,986	BLANK ET AL.	(
navious naudi	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chongshan Chen	2172	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	••
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to ich places the application	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three motarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See M 136(a) and the appropriate exte e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	IPEP ension fee on fee under s set forth in
1.⊠ A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 January 2004. 7 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			h in
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed an	nendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which were n	iewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			l an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, 7-12 and 15-19.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	4 /
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		14
Julio		ALFORD KINDR PRIMARY EXAMI	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/775,986



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed claim limitations "determining a ratio of the numerical scores to a maximum possible score and, for each characteristic category, averaging said ratios within category and expressing the average as a percentage match for each category, totaling the ratios of numerical scores to give an overall numeric score; and, using the percentages for each category and the overall numeric scores to sort and prioritize said other entities' in order match the user entity to the closest other entity" in claim 1 are not entered because they require further consideration and search.

The proposed claim limitations "scoring each category by averaging the ratios of each numerical score for a particular data element to the total possible score for that data element and expressing said average as a percentage match for said category" and "using the percentages for each category and the overall numerical scores to sort and prioritize said profiles" in claim 12 are not entered because they require further consideration and search.